

City of Santa Barbara Fiscal Year 2015 Performance Measure Results

Reporting Period: From 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015

Department:Administrative Services7/8, 88%Division:Admin Svcs-City ClerkObjectivesProgram Name and Number:City Clerk's Office (1521, 1522)Achieved

Program Owner: Gwen Peirce

Program Mission: Ensure the integrity and preservation of the City Council's record, conduct municipal

elections, coordinate the recruitment and appointment process for City Advisory Groups,

coordinate the citywide Records Management Program, and provide courteous, professional and efficient service to the City Council, City staff and the community.

Program Activities:

- 1. Administer municipal elections.
- 2. Prepare agendas and minutes for all City Council meetings.
- **3.** Process City Council approved ordinances, resolutions, agreements, contracts, and deeds and certify the administrative record of Council actions.
- 4. Provide information to the public and update and publish the Municipal Code.
- **5.** Coordinate the Records Management Program.
- **6.** Oversee compliance with the Political Reform Act as it relates to filing of Campaign Statements, Statements of Economic Interests, and Ethics Training.
- **7.** Coordinate the recruitment and appointment process for 31 City advisory groups.

1	iect Obiectives	,	
✓ Complete 1.	Complete the recruitment, appointment, orie	ntation a	and training for 31 City advisory groups.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The Division has completed the annual recruitment and appointment process in December 2014; the semi-annual recruitment, appointment, orientation and training will occur by June 30, 2015.	Yr-End:	The Division has completed the Annual and Semi- Annual Recruitments, as well as a Special Recruitment for an unscheduled vacancy on the Single Family Design Board. The annual workshop was conducted on June 4.
☐ Not 2. Completed	Ensure receipt of compliance certificates for r members of designated advisory groups.	equired (ethics training for Mayor and Councilmembers and
Comments: Mid-Yr: Complete 3.	required to complete ethics training per Government Code and Resolution No. 13-006. 90% have completed training at this time. With the reinstitution of the design board stipends, three additional boards/commissions needed to retake the AB1234 training to satisfy the two hour minimum requirement. Mayor and Councilmembers are in 100% compliance.	Yr-End:	Currently, 90% of 115 individuals who are required to complete ethics training have completed this requirement. Mayor and Councilmembers are 100% in compliance.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The City Clerk's Office works with various departments on Records Destruction Requests throughout the year. The Annual Report will be completed by the end of FY15.	Yr-End:	The Annual Report for FY 15 was completed on July 23, 2015.

4.	Investigate of Managemer	•	e a recommenda	ition for u	pgrade	or replaceme	nt of electronic A	genda
l-Yr:	Public Work staff to disco system and the I.S. Divis and needs to	s and Communit uss the needs for provided writter ion on specific ro o include in a Re	ry Development r this new n feedback to equirements quest for	Yr-End:	System providi have b	s staff on the ng requireme een returned a	request for prop nts, reviewing pr and providing inp	osal, including oposals that
	This training	s is scheduled to		.	The Un	derstanding to g Effective CAF	s and training to	
Mea	surable Obi	ectives			11 WILL			
	Complete 10	00% of customer	service requests	within 2	working	Re We	quests Complete orking Days or by	d within 2
	Target							Year-to-Date
			1	1			1	100%
	100%	100%				100%	100%	100%
 Vr.				-				
	surable Obi	ectives		TI-LIIG.		M	etric	
			ite for statement			erests. Pe	rcent of Timely F	•
	_	Qtr1	Qtr2			Qtr3	Qtr4	
								Year-to-Date
	90%	97%				100%	82%	99%
	90%	93%	90%			85%	99%	93%
l-Yr:		filers filed their terest by their d		Yr-End:	_	the entire Fisomely filed.	cal Year, 530 out	of 535 filings
	Meaall-Yr:	Public Work staff to discus system and the I.S. Divis and needs to Proposal that 5. Conduct a tr. I-Yr: This training March 2015 Measurable Objust the request of the I.S. Divis and needs to Proposal that I.S. Complete 10 by the request of the I.S. Complete 10 by the request of the I.S. Complete 10 by the request of the I.S. Complete 10 by the request of I.S. Complete 10 by the I.S. Complete 10 by the I.S. Complete 10 by the I.S. C	Public Works and Communits staff to discuss the needs for system and provided writter the I.S. Division on specific reand needs to include in a Re Proposal that I.S. will be relected. 5. Conduct a training for City start. I-Yr: This training is scheduled to March 2015. Measurable Objectives 1. Complete 100% of customer by the requested deadline. Qtr1 Target Actual 100% 100% I-Yr: Measurable Objectives 2. Maintain 90% timely filing reader of the properties of the properties of the properties of the properties of the provided their p	H-Yr: This training is scheduled to take place in March 2015. Measurable Objectives 1. Complete 100% of customer service requests by the requested deadline. Qtr1 Qtr2 Target Actual Actual 100% 100% 100% 1-Yr: Measurable Objectives 2. Maintain 90% timely filing rate for statement Actual 90% 97% 98% 90% 93% 90% 1-Yr: 77 out of 79 filers filed their Statements of	Public Works and Community Development staff to discuss the needs for this new system and provided written feedback to the I.S. Division on specific requirements and needs to include in a Request for Proposal that I.S. will be releasing. 5. Conduct a training for City staff on agenda report preparation of the Indiana provided to take place in March 2015. Measurable Objectives 1. Complete 100% of customer service requests within 2 by the requested deadline. FY20 Target Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Indiana previous 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	Public Works and Community Development staff to discuss the needs for this new system and provided written feedback to the I.S. Division on specific requirements and needs to include in a Request for Proposal that I.S. will be releasing. 5. Conduct a training for City staff on agenda report preparation March 2015. 1-Yr: This training is scheduled to take place in March 2015. 1-Yre Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Actual Actual 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	Public Works and Community Development staff to discuss the needs for this new system and provided written feedback to the I.S. Division on specific requirements and needs to include in a Request for Proposal that I.S. will be releasing. 5. Conduct a training for City staff on agenda report preparation and process. I-Yr: This training is scheduled to take place in March 2015. 1-Yr: This training is scheduled to take place in March 2015. 1-Yr: Omplete 100% of customer service requests within 2 working days or by the requested deadline. 1. Complete 100% of customer service requests within 2 working days or by the requested deadline. 1. Complete 100% of Loudy 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100	Public Works and Community Development staff to discuss the needs for this new system and provided written feedback to the I.S. Division on specific requirements and needs to include in a Request for Proposal that I.S. will be releasing. 5. Conduct a training for City staff on agenda report preparation and process. I-Yr: This training is scheduled to take place in March 2015. Yr-End: The Understanding the Council Agenc Writing Effective CARs and training to 11 with 53 staff members attending. Measurable Objectives 1. Complete 100% of customer service requests within 2 working days or by the requested deadline. FY2015 Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Qtr3 Qtr4 Actual

Status	Me	asurable Obj	ectives			M	etric	
On Target 117.5% of Target	3.	Complete 7	5% of Council mii	nutes accurately	within 7 workin	ac wo	rcent of Council r curately prepared orking days and p uncil approval.	l within 7
					FY2015			
			Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
✓ UM		Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
✓		80%	86%	100%	93%	96%	96%	94%
					Previous FY201	4		
		75%	100%	86%		90%	96%	93%
Comments: Mid	l-Yr:				Yr-End:			

							FY2015			
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Otl	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	1.	Pages of Council minutes		450	94	92	186	128	153	467
103.8% of Target		prepared for Council approval				P	Previous FY2	2014		
		арргочаг		450	97	92	189	91	145	425
					Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Otl	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	2.	Staff hours spent in		360	140	153	293	103	133	529
Projections		support of City advisory					Previous FY2	2011		
146.9% of Target		groups		450	89	85	174	71	142	387
				430	- 03	- 05			172	307
					Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year		O+r4	Year-to-
Status	Otl	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Date
Below	3.	Customer service	0.01	1,400	304	264	568	313	313	1,194
Projections		requests completed					Provious FV	2014		
85.3% of Target		within 2 working days or		2.000	262		Previous FY2		202	1 222
		by the requested deadline		2,000	363	406	769	250	303	1,322
		acaame					FV204F			
					Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Otl	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	4.	Electronic filings of		435	32	45	77	444	9	530
Projections		statements of economic				<u> </u>	revious FY2	2014	<u> </u>	'
121.8% of Target		interests		435	30	10	40	41	374	455
				755	30	10			374	755
					Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Otl	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds		Staff hours spent in		125	28	26	54	95	19	168
Projections		support of statements of		-			Provious EV	2014		
134.4% of Target		economic interests		125	11		Previous FY2		27	147
				125	14	24	38	82	27	147
						<u>-</u>	FY2015		=:	,
Status	O+l	ner Program Measures	UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date
Exceeds	6.	Staff hours spent on	Olvi	60	13	14	27	34	20	81
Projections		codification of the								
135.% of Target		municipal code					Previous FY2			
				60	39	26	65	26	10	101
							FY2015			
Status	O+	nor Drogram Magazza	1104	Taucat	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status As Projected	7.	ner Program Measures Contracts and	UM	Target 400	Actual 109	Actual 113	Actual 222	Actual 81	Actual 60	Date 363
90.8% of Target	,.	agreements, deeds and		100	103		<u> </u>			
		notices of completion				<u></u>	revious FY2			
		processed		300	128	81	209	62	92	363

						FY2015					
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
As Projected	8. Resolutions and	Olvi	135	31	33	64	23	64	151		
111.9% of Target			133				25				
111.570 01 101.800	oraliances processed				<i>F</i>	Previous FY	2014				
			135	33	22	55	21	43	119		
					Ot3	FY2015		Ot4	V		
Chahua	Other Drawers Masses	1104	T	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status As Projected	Other Program Measures9. City Council agendas and	UM	Target	Actual 11	Actual 13	Actual 24	Actual 17	Actual 22	Date 63		
As Projected 105.% of Target	9. City Council agendas and packets prepared		00			24	17				
103.76 Of Target	packets prepared				F	Previous FY	2014				
			60	10	13	23	15	20	58		
				10		23	15	20			
						FY2015					
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
As Projected	10. Campaign statements		20	16	0	16	17	0	33		
165.% of Target	processed				E	Previous FY	2014				
				20				0	02		
			50	20	36	56	27	0	83		
						FY2015					
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
As Projected	11. Staff hours spent in	Hours	20	8	0	8	8	1	16		
80.% of Target	support of campaign			'		rovious FV	2014	'			
	statements					Previous FY2					
			30	18	22	40	10	0	50		
							Y2015				
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
Exceeds	12. Staff hours spent on	Hours	120	43	60	103	55	38	196		
Projections	research requests						2044				
163.3% of Target						Previous FY2					
			90	48	40	88	32	35	155		
						FY2015					
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
Exceeds	13. Phone calls received		4,200	1,317	1,316	2,633	1,161	1,274	5,068		
Projections											
120.7% of Target					<i></i>	Previous FY2	2014				
			5,000	1,067	1,242	2,309	1,424	1,224	4,757		
						FY2015					
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-		
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date		
As Projected	14. Staff hours spent on the	Hours	600	116	125	241	138	177	556		
92.7% of Target	Council agenda packet										
	process				<i>_</i>	<u>Previous FY</u>	2014				
	·		600	138	123	261	141	154	556		

							FY2015			
					Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Oth	er Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	15.	Staff hours spent on	Hours	1,000	178	188	366	172	193	731
Projections 73.1% of Target		Council meeting attendance and follow-up					revious FY2	2014		
				1,050	182	174	356	200	305	861

Comments:

- **2.** Yr-End: The increase in hours on this measure is primarily due to a Special Recruitment that was conducted in the Spring for an unscheduled vacancy on the Single Family Design Board.
- **4.** Mid-Yr: The majority of the Electronic filings will occur in the spring with the Annual Statement of Economic Interest filing requirement on April 1.
 - Yr-End: There has been an influx in hiring new employees at the City, which has increased the number of SEI filers/filings.
- **5.** Yr-End: Due to the increase in filings of SEI's, there was an increase in staff hours spent in support of SEI.
- **6.** Yr-End: There were more ordinances requiring codification during the second half of the fiscal year, which increased the amount of staff hours spent on codification.
- **9.** Mid-Yr: It is anticipated that the City Clerk's Office will be preparing more than double the amount of agendas and packets from the first half of the fiscal year during the spring due to the number of budget workshops and special meetings.
- **12.** Yr-End: This measure is difficult to project, because it is dependent on how many requests were received.
- 13. Yr-End: The Division has seen an increase in the amount of calls received for other City departments where the caller is uncertain to what department they need to be directed. Often, they are calling back from a missed call on their cell phone.
- **15.** Mid-Yr: With the budget workshops and special meetings set in the spring, it is anticipated that the Division will reach the projected amount.
 - Yr-End: The Budget Workshops took up less time for attendance and follow-up than had occurred in previous years.



City of Santa Barbara Fiscal Year 2015 Performance Measure Results

Reporting Period: From 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015

Department:	Administrative Services	11/13, 85%
Division:	Admin Svcs-Human Resources	Objectives
Program Name and Number:	Human Resources (1531, 1533)	Achieved

Program Owner: Susie Gonzalez

Program Mission: Develop effective policies and assist departments in the recruitment, development, and

retention of quality employees in order for the City to provide a high standard of service to

the community.

Program Activities:

- **1.** Provide a centralized program of personnel administration for approximately 1,500 regular and hourly employees. Establish job descriptions and compensation levels for approximately 400 classifications.
- 2. Recruit, test, and certify applicants for City positions.
- **3.** Provide guidance and personnel-related information to employees and departments. Coordinate and assist departments on disciplinary actions, performance issues, and complaints.
- **4.** Administer the Human Resources function of the payroll process including changes to employees' salary, such as COLAs, merit increases, promotions, etc.
- **5.** Provide staff support to the Civil Service Commission.
- **6.** Administer employee benefit programs including health insurance, life insurance, long and short-term disability, flexible spending accounts, deferred compensation, and retirement. Administer employee leave programs.
- 7. Administer employee training opportunities and legally mandated sexual harassment training. Conduct New Employee and New Leader orientation programs.

New Lead	21 011	entation programs.		
✓ Status	Proj	ect Objectives		
Complete	1.	Implement the Human Resources modules re	elative to	the new Munis payroll system.
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:		Yr-End:	Munis Human Resources module has been implemented.
✓ Complete	2.	Provide training to department users of the r modules.	new Muni _	s payroll system relative to the Human Resources
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:	11 Munis PR/HR training classes have been held the first half of this fiscal year.	Yr-End:	Training on Munis Human Resources module is complete.
✓ Complete	3.	Provide six (6) sessions of legally required Ha of calendar year 2015.	rassment	Training for supervisors and managers in the first half
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:		Yr-End:	Harassment training has been completed.
✓ Complete	4.	Provide five (5) sessions of staff-level Harassr	nent Traii	ning.
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:	Have completed a total of six staff level	Yr-End:	Staff level Harassment training is complete.
		trainings fiscal year to date.		
Complete	5.	Re-instate the Educational Reimbursement P	rogram ir	July 2014.
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:	Nine Tuition Reimbursement applications received in the first quarter;	Yr-End:	All Tuition Reimbursement monies were spent for this fiscal year.
☐ Not Completed	6.	Provide Time and Attendance training to man	nagers an	d supervisors.
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:		Yr-End:	Due to limited staffing in HR was unable to complete this training this fiscal year.
Status	Mea	asurable Objectives		Metric
On Target	1.	Ensure that City supervisors and managers co	mplete 8	5% of employee Evaluations completed on-

		-time.		due	e/total number	of evaluations
Target	Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-Date
	ı					85%
0370	0370	0370		0170		0370
Q5%	25%	25%				85%
	8370	8370	-			8370
			Yr-End:	54-4		
		rocommondat	ions to the request			as completed
-	Form from the d	epartment.	FY2015	depa Desc class	artment comple cription Form)/ sification studie	eting the Positio total number of
Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
85%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	95%
			Previous FY2014			
95%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	-		Yr-End:			
Measurable Obje	ectives			Met	ric	
-		onal) recruitme		Serv	ices Requisition	
	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
39.00	21.33	28.00	24.66	30.50	45.80	31.41
			Previous FY2014			
39.00	17.43	22.29		23.75	25.29	22.19
r:			the per	riod of time duri ment was open	ing Holiday clos extra long to a	sure. This
	Target 85% Yr: The one class completed working day Target 39.00	Target Actual 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% Yr: Measurable Objectives 2. Provide 95% of classification department within 45 workin Description Form from the d Qtr1 Target Actual 85% 95% Yr: The one classification study completed within 45 workin Measurable Objectives 3. Complete internal (promotion working days. Qtr1 Target Actual 39.00 17.43	Target Actual Actual 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% Yr: Weasurable Objectives 2. Provide 95% of classification recommendat department within 45 working days of rece Description Form from the department. Qtr1 Qtr2 Target Actual Actual 85% 0% 0% 95% 100% Yr: The one classification study was not completed within 45 working day target. Weasurable Objectives 3. Complete internal (promotional) recruitment working days. Qtr1 Qtr2 Target Actual Actual 39.00 21.33 28.00	Target Actual Actual Actual 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% Wr: Yr-End: Weasurable Objectives 2. Provide 95% of classification recommendations to the request department within 45 working days of receiving the Positions Description Form from the department. FY2015 Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Actual Actual Actual 85% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Previous FY2014 95% 100% 100% Yr: The one classification study was not completed within 45 working day target. Weasurable Objectives 3. Complete internal (promotional) recruitments within an avera working days. FY2015 Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Actual A	Target Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85%	Target Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual Actual 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85% 85%

Status		Mea	surable Obje	ectives			Met	ric	
	of Target of Target		Complete ex days.	rternal (open) re	cruitments with	in an average of 4	_	ices Requisitio	Personnel n to certification
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
✓	UM		Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
✓	Days		49.00	35.79	25.55	25.55	47.00	37.69	37.69
			. — . — . — .			Previous FY2014	!		
			49.00	29.22	40.14	34.68	35.63	51.60	39.15
Comm	ents: Mic	d-Yr:			'	Yr-End:	'		
Status			surable Obje	ectives			Met	ric	
Behind .% of T	l Target arget	i	issues relate		ources, such as	resentatives on pe the Family Medica FY2015		ning updates ho Irtment reps	eld for
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	
✓	UM		Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date
			2	0	0	0	0	0	0
						Previous FY2014	<u> </u>		
			2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comm	ents: Mic	d-Yr:				Yr-End:			
Comm	ents: Mic		surable Obje	ectives		Yr-End:	Met	ric	
Status On Tar		Mea 6.			nt workshops wi	Yr-End: th PERS represent			eld
Status On Tar	get	Mea 6.	Conduct qua		nt workshops wi	th PERS represent			eld
On Tar 100.%	get	Mea 6.	Conduct qua	arterly retiremer	· 	th PERS represent	atives and PERS	workshops he	eld Year-to-Date
Status On Tar 100.%	get of Target	Mea 6.	Conduct qua	arterly retiremer Qtr1	Qtr2	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year	atives and PERS Qtr3	workshops he	
On Tar 100.%	get of Target	Mea 6.	Conduct qua City staff. Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
On Tar 100.%	get of Target	Mea 6.	Conduct qua City staff. Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date
Status On Tar 100.% ✓	get of Target UM	Mea 6.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date 4
Status On Tar 100.% ✓	get of Target UM ents: Mic	Mea 6.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4	Qtr1 Actual 1	Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual 1	Year-to-Date 4
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ Comm Status Ahead	get of Target UM ents: Mic	Mea 6.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 4 surable Obje	Qtr1 Actual 1	Qtr2 Actual 1	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End: Ing classes.	Qtr3 Actual 1 Met	Qtr4 Actual 1	Year-to-Date 4 4
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ Comm Status Ahead	get of Target UM ents: Mic	Mea 6. d-Yr: Mea 7.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 4 surable Obje	Qtr1 Actual 1	Qtr2 Actual 1	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End:	Qtr3 Actual 1 Met	Qtr4 Actual 1	Year-to-Date 4 4
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ Comm Status Ahead	get of Target UM of Target of Target	Mea 6. d-Yr: Mea 7.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 surable Obje Hold, on a quantum and the surable of the surab	Qtr1 Actual 1 ectives uarterly basis, so	Qtr2 Actual 1 1 upervisory train Qtr2	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End: ing classes. FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3 Actual 1 Metro Supe	Qtr4 Actual 1 ric ervisory trainin	Year-to-Date 4 g classes held
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ ✓ Comm Status Ahead 350.%	get of Target UM of Target of Target	Mea 6. d-Yr: Mea 7.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 surable Obje Hold, on a quantity	Qtr1 Actual 1 ectives uarterly basis, so	Qtr2 Actual 1 1 Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End: ing classes. FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2	Qtr3 Actual 1 Metrosupe Qtr3 Actual 5	Qtr4 Actual 1 ric ervisory trainin Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date 4 g classes held Year-to-Date
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ ✓ Comm Status Ahead 350.%	get of Target UM of Target of Target	Mea 6. d-Yr: Mea 7.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 surable Obje Hold, on a quantity Target 4	Qtr1 Actual 1 ectives uarterly basis, so Qtr1 Actual 1	Qtr2 Actual 1 1 Qtr2 Actual 1	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End: ing classes. FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014	Qtr3 Actual 1 Metro Super	Qtr4 Actual 1 ric ervisory trainin Qtr4 Actual 7	Year-to-Date 4 g classes held Year-to-Date 14
Status On Tar 100.% ✓ ✓ ✓ Status Ahead 350.% ✓	get of Target UM of Target of Target	Mea 6. d-Yr: Mea 7.	Conduct qua City staff. Target 4 surable Obje Hold, on a quantity	Qtr1 Actual 1 ectives uarterly basis, so	Qtr2 Actual 1 1 Qtr2 Actual	th PERS represent FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2 Previous FY2014 2 Yr-End: ing classes. FY2015 Mid-Year Actual 2	Qtr3 Actual 1 Metrosupe Qtr3 Actual 5	Qtr4 Actual 1 ric ervisory trainin Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date 4 g classes held Year-to-Date

						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	Applications reviewed		9,000	2,005	1,585	3,590	2,457	1,049	7,096
Projections	and processed			-			204.4		
78.8% of Target						revious FY2			
			9,000	2,835	1,878	4,713	1,898	1,998	8,609
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	2. Recruitments conducted		75	25	24	49	26	21	96
Projections					P	Previous FY2	2014		
128.% of Target			75	25	28	53	18	22	93
			/5	23	20				
				:		FY2015			
6. .				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds Projections	3. Employees (regular and hourly) hired		400	114	85	199	93	145	437
109.3% of Target	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				P	revious FY2	2014		
103.370 01 Target			400	109	90	199	105	133	437
						EV201E			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	FY2015 Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	4. Regular employee	Olvi	7.50%	1.58%	3.25%	4.83%	1.38%	1.48%	7.68%
102.4% of Target	=								
					<i>P</i>	revious FY2	2014		
			7.50%	1.70%	2.89%	4.59%	1.99%	2.20%	8.78%
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	5. Personnel actions		3,000	649	447	1,096	380	487	1,963
Projections	processed by HR staff					wariana FV	0014		
65.4% of Target						Previous FY2			
			3,000	590	367	957	686	1,018	2,661
						FY2015		- — - — - —	
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected	6. Percent of Management		70%	50%	100%	75%	100%	80%	88%
125.7% of Target	•				P	revious FY2	2014		
	internal promotion		70%		100%	100%	50%	67%	67%
			7070		10070			0770	0770
						FY2015			
. .				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date 750/
Below Projections	7. Percent Supervisory positions filled by		80%	50%	33%	40%	100%	100%	75%
93.8% of Target	internal promotion				P	revious FY2	2014		
	р от от		80%	0%			100%	67%	50%
1									

						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	8. Supervisory/manager		800	112	118	230	112	102	444
Projections 55.5% of Target	requests for assistance re: disciplinary issues				P	revious FY2	2014		
33.370 01 141601	re. discipiniary issues		800	196	157	353	145	85	583
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Below	9. Employees who made		500	0	310	310	0	0	310
Projections 62.% of Target	benefit changes during Open Enrollment					revious FY2	2014		
,			500		431				431
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
Exceeds	10. Employee requests for		9,000	2,294	2,871	5,165	2,361	1,901	9,427
Projections 104.7% of Target	assistance regarding benefits				P	revious FY2	2014		
			9,000	2,314	2,813	5,127	2,424	2,035	9,586
						FY2015			
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date
As Projected 11.5% of Target	11. Average number of LEAP training hours attended		2.00	0.04	0.09	0.12	0.03	0.08	0.23
11.5% Of Target	per employee				P	revious FY2	2014		
	,		2.00	0.03	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.05	0.31
Comments:	5. Yr-End: Mass COLAs not inclu	ded in thi	s total. Mu	nis counts	personne	l actions dif	ferently tl	nan FMS.	
	6. Mid-Yr: First quarter - one ma								
	8. Yr-End: Fewer supervisory/ma	anager red	quests for a	ssistance f	or discipli	nary issues	in this fisc	al year.	
	9. Mid-Yr: Benefits Open Enrollr Yr-End: Open enrollment hap	ment take:	s place in Q	uarter 2.					



City of Santa Barbara Fiscal Year 2015 Performance Measure Results

Reporting Period: From 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015

Department:Administrative Services12/14, 86%Division:Admin Svcs-Information SystemsObjectivesProgram Name and Number:Information Systems (1541, 1542, 1543)Achieved

Program Owner: Rob Badger

Program Mission: Provide computer support for Financial, Geographic Information System (GIS), Web

Services, and department applications; ensure reliable network operation; provide

computer training and Help Desk support to City staff to enhance the effectiveness of City

operations.

Program Activities:

- 1. Provide a reliable and secure computer infrastructure with high-speed network access to City facilities.
- 2. Maintain, upgrade, and support 820 desktop computers and 80 infrastructure and application servers.
- 3. Support the Financial Management System (FMS) and City-wide and departmental application systems.
- 4. Provide computer training for City staff.
- 5. Provide a single source Help Desk support service for quick and effective problem diagnosis and resolution.
- 6. Provide easily maintained and user friendly public Web site to residents and internal SharePoint services to City staff.
- 7. Provide technical assistance support to departments for hardware selection, applications, and operations.

✓ Status Pro	ject Objectives		
Complete1.	Complete the implementation of the Tyler M	unis Payr -	oll and Human Resources modules by October 31, 2014.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The Munis Human Resources module went live on September 9th and the Payroll module went live on Nov 14th.	Yr-End:	The Munis Human Resources module went live on September 9th and the Payroll module went live on Nov 14th.
☐ In-Process 2.	Evaluate upgrading or replacing the Accela Tivendor/product selection, and complete an in		ermitting System. Conduct a needs assessment, tation plan by June 30, 2015.
Comments: Mid-Yr:	The start of this project was postponed due to the delay of the Munis Payroll project going live. Completing an implementation plan by June 30th may not be accomplished. Project team is being approved and a new project plan is being developed.	Yr-End:	50% complete. Needs assessment finished, vendor list created, and RFP developed and issued. Project was postponed due to delay of Munis Payroll Project Go-Live and lack of full IS staffing.
☐ In-Process 3.			t Management System and Council Agenda Process. n, and complete an implementation plan by June 30,
Comments: Mid-Yr:	Needs assessment by the project team was completed and the RFP is scheduled to be completed and sent to potential vendors in February. Questys provided a demonstration of their current product to the project team. A CIP request is being submitted to fund the implementation in Fiscal Year 2016.	Yr-End:	75% complete. Needs assessment finished, vendor list created, and RFP developed and issued. 5 proposals received and project team selected 3 semi-finalists. Vendor demonstrations are being scheduled. Project was delayed due to lack of full IS staffing.

✓ Complete	4.	Upgrade City W	indows XP w	orkstations to W	indows 7	by March	31, 2015.			
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:	schedule to be 2015. Fewer th computers rem Windows 7. QTR 3: Complet replacement ar	completed by an 10 Windo ain to be upg and windows and upgrade of anroughout the ows XP completed	y March 31, ws XP raded to XP workstation 600+ e City Windows uter remain,	Yr-End:	Complete Windows		ws XP workstation	s upgrade to	
✓ Complete	5.	hardware which	n will not run n-going City	on Windows 7.		plan and r	replace all	City workstations	past their	
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:	completed by N complete. Less computers rem QTR 3: Replace	Yr-End:	Completed Windows XP workstation replacement 600+ computers throughout the City. Only 8 Windows XP computers remain, due to required legacy applications or hardware which will not run Windows 7.						
☐ Not Reportable	6.	•	ywide Consti	tuent Response I nd complete an in	_			Complete the nee	eds assessment,	
Comments: Mic		approval to mo project. The pr functions, featu systems for mu request is being implementation	ebruary 2, 20 we forward w esentation w ires, and ben nicipal govern s submitted to n in Fiscal Yea	of 15 to seek their ith this ill review the efits of CRM naments. A CIP of fund the CRM		Department Directors requested the CRM project be postponed till Fiscal Year 2016 to allow staff adequate time to adjust to the new Munis Financial Software.				
Complete	,.	Centerline GIS of		•	the City a	na begin i	incegration	Tor the city 3 and	County 3 Street	
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:	This project obj Process has bee has been create County of Santa centerline GIS Is	en documente ed between tl a Barbara. Th	ed and an MOU he City and he street	Yr-End:	: Process has been documented and an MOU has bee created between the City and County of Santa Barbara. The street centerline GIS layer has been created.				
Status	Mea	asurable Objecti	ves				ſ	Metric		
Ahead of Target 120.2% of Target		Resolve 60% of	requests for (Customer Suppo	rt at time	of call	S	Percent of calls for support that are re call	customer esolved at time of	
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY2 Mid-Y Actu	'ear	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date	

✓		60.0%	68.4%	74.7%	71.5	%	76.5%	68.4%	72.1%			
_					Previous FY2014							
		60.0%	67.0%	62.4%	65.0		62.0%	64.0%	64.0%			
					-							
Comments: Mid	I-Yr:		of 1,012 closed a of 1,000 closed a		Yr-Ena:		802 of 1,049 clos 661 of 966 clos					
			he 2,012 User S						were closed at			
			ere closed at th	e time of the		the tin	ne of the call.					
Status	NA	call.	ativas				Met	ui a				
Status Ahead of Target		Resolve 70%		Customer Suppo	ort within 1	husin		cent of requests	for customer			
112.9% of Target		Resolve 7070	or requests for	customer suppe	ore within .	Dusin	sup	-	solved within 1			
					FY20	15						
		_	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Y		Qtr3	Qtr4				
✓ UM		Target	Actual	Actual	Actu		Actual	Actual	Year-to-Date			
✓		70.0%	75.1%	81.9%	78.5	%	80.7%	78.3%	79.0%			
					<u>Previous</u>	FY2014	<u>! </u>					
		70.0%	75.0%	71.2%	73.0	%	71.4%	71.0%	71.0%			
Comments: Mid	l-Yr:	QTR 1: 760 c	of 1012 closed w	rithin 1 day.	Yr-End:	QTR 3:	847 of 1049 c	osed within 1 d	lay			
		QTR 2: 819 c	of 1000 closed w	rithin 1 day.			, 756 of 966 clo		•			
									were closed at			
Status	Mea	asurable Obje	actives			the tin	ne of call or with Me t		ay			
Ahead of Target				e requests rated	l as Critica	withir		cent of Critical (Out of Service			
102.% of Target	٥.	Business Day		e requests rates	. as Critica	***************************************			n 1 business day			
_												
-				FY2015								
-				Otr2			Otr3	Otr4				
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	FY20 Mid-Y	ear	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date			
,		Target			Mid-Y	ear al			Year-to-Date			
√ UM			Actual	Actual	Mid-You	ear al	Actual 100%	Actual				
√ UM			Actual	Actual	Mid-Y	ear al 6 FY2014	Actual 100%	Actual				
✓ UM ✓		98%	Actual 100% 100%	100%	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 F Y2014	Actual 100% 100% 100%	Actual 100% 100%	100%			
✓ UM ✓	 	98% 98% QTR 1: 3 of	Actual 100% 100%	100%	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 F Y2014 6 QTR 3:	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with	100% 100% nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	l-Yr:	98% 98% QTR 1: 3 of day	Actual 100% 100%	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4:	Actual 100% 100% 100%	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	l-Yr:	98% 98% QTR 1: 3 of day	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (10 of 4 critica	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	l-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			
✓ UM ✓	I-Yr:	98% QTR 1: 3 of day QTR 2: 1 of	Actual 100% 100% 3 Critical Calls cl	Actual 100% 100% osed within 1	Mid-Young Actu 1009 Previous 1009	ear al 6 FY2014 6 QTR 3: QTR 4: TOTAL	Actual 100% 100% 4 of 4 Critical (3 of 3 Critical (11 of 11 Critical (12 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (13 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 of 11 of 11 Critical (14 of 11 o	Actual 100% 100% Calls closed with Calls closed with all Out of Services	100% 100% nin 1 day nin 1 day			

Status	Mea	asurable Obj	ectives		Metric							
Ahead of Target 100.1% of Target			uptime of 99.8% and application se	•	/ide Area Network and Percent uptime for City WAN and critical support servers							
			O+#1		FY20 Mid-Y		O+#2	O+r4				
√ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Actu		Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date			
✓		99.8%	100.0%	99.8%	99.9	-	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%			
					D	5V204.4						
		99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	Previous 100.0		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:	due to exte	n the second qua nded power outa ak which affected	age at La	Yr-End:	QTR 4: outage 6.5 hou	No unplanned set 2.5 hours of set set unplanned outage.	ver unavailabil	ty from power			
Status	Mea	asurable Obj	ectives				Met	ric				
Ahead of Target 100.1% of Target								ent uptime for agement Syste	City's Financial ms			
					FY2015							
√ UM	Target		Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Y Actu		Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date			
<u> </u>		99.8%	100.0%	99.9%	99.9%		99.9%	99.9%	99.9%			
			1		Previous FY2014							
		99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0		99.9%	99.9%	100.0%			
Comments: Mic	l-Yr:	QTR 1: 1.1 h QTR 2: 2 hr QTR 3: 5.2 h	Hours hrs Munis Server s Munis Server do hrs Munis Server hrs Munis Serve	owntime downtime	Yr-End:	4.25 ho	owntime for FY ours to load pay to install a critica	roll conversion	_			
Status	Mea	asurable Obj		1 downtime			Met	ric				
Ahead of Target 100.1% of Target	6.		uptime of 99.8%	6 of the City's Ce	ntralized	GIS and	MAPS Pero	ent uptime for PS servers	City GIS and			
					FY20			. — - — - — - — -				
√ UM		Tourset	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Y			Qtr4	Voor to Dot			
✓ OIVI		Target 99.8%	Actual 100.0%	Actual 100.0%	100.0		Actual 99.0%	Actual 100.0%	Year-to-Date			
	_	33.070	100.070	100.070				100.070	33.370			
				100.004	Previous							
		99.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0)%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
Comments: Mid	l-Yr:	GIS/MAPS.	eported downtin		Yr-End:	QTR 4:	2.25 hours of to No reported do 1: 2.25 reported	wntime for GIS	/MAPS.			

Status	Mea	surable Obje	actives				Met	ric				
Ahead of Target 104.7% of Target	7.		5% or higher cus	vice Perc	Percent of employees surveyed reporting satisfactory rating on services received.							
√ UM		FY2015 Qtr1 Qtr2 Mid-Year Qtr3 Target Actual Actual Actual Actual						•				
•		95.0%	97.8%	100.0%	99.0	%	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%			
		Previous FY2014										
		95.0%	98.0%	98.0%	98.0		100.0%	100.0%	99.0%			
Comments: Mic	Mid-Yr: QTR 1: 44 of 45 users satisfied. QTR 2: 54 of 54 users satisfied. QTR 4: 51 of 51 users satisfied. QTR 4: 51 of 51 users satisfied. Of the 222 users that completed the satisfia survey, 221 reported that they were satisfia service provided.											
Status	Mea	surable Obje	ectives				Met	ric				
Ahead of Target 101.% of Target			of employees rep ts in their ability				the		es reporting that ed their ability to tions			
					FY20)15						
✓ UM		Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Y Actu		Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to-Date			
✓ OW		99.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%			
					Previous	FY2014	1		-			
		99.0%	100.0%	99.0%	99.5		100.0%	100.0%	99.8%			
Comments: Mic	d-Yr:		reported impro 12 reported imp		Yr-End:	QTR 4: Of the all 29 i	: 10 of 10 report : 7 of 7 reporte : 29 users that re reported that tra vement in their a	d improvement sponded to the aining resulted i	training survey, n an			

						FY2015							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year		Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Below	1. "User Support" requests		4,500	1,012	1,000	2,012	1,049	966	4,027				
Projections	completed												
89.5% of Target						Previous FY2							
			4,200	1,340	1,432	2,772	838	890	4,500				
						FY2015							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	2. Infrastructure Support		3,000	1,010	983	1,993	1,018	770	3,781				
Projections	service requests				F	Previous FY2	2014						
126.% of Target	completed		3,000	774	815	1,589	806	904	3,299				
			3,000	774	813	1,303	800	304	3,233				
						FY2015							
			_	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	•	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	3. Critical out-of-service		15	3	1	4	4	3	11				
Projections 73.3% of Target	requests completed				F	Previous FY	2014						
73.3% Of Target			35	0	0	0	1	2	3				
					O+*3	FY2015		O+=4	Voor to				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date				
Exceeds	4. Enterprise application	Olvi	700	175	204	379	259	266	904				
Projections	service requests		257 379 259 200 304										
129.1% of Target			Previous FY2014										
			700	166	175	341	97	178	616				
						FY2015							
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year		Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	5. GIS service requests		880	331	280	611	316	242	1169				
Projections	completed												
132.8% of Target	İ				<i></i>	Previous FY2							
			880	219	241	460	239	272	971				
						FY2015	j						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
Exceeds	6. Web service requests		600	272	236	508	246	238	992				
Projections	completed		Previous FY2014										
165.3% of Target	Ī		400	151	i			208	760				
			400	151	190	341	219	200	768				
						FY2015							
			_	Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	•	Qtr4	Year-to-				
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date				
As Projected 95.6% of Target	7. Computer users supported		1,080	1,118	1,157	1,157	1,011	1,080	1,033				
95.6% Of Target	supported				F	revious FY	2014						
			1,170	1,165	1,182	1,182	1,222	1,104	1,104				
			_, 0	_,	_,	_/_52	_,	=,=0 '					

						FY2015						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
Below	8. Computer workstation		820	853	853	853	820	782	782			
Projections	devices supported			<u>'</u>	D	revious FY2	2014					
95.4% of Target			020	054				000	000			
			820	854	866	866	851	868	868			
						FY2015						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
	9. Computer users support		196	203	210	210	184	188	188			
Projections 95.9% of Target	per FTE (5.5)				P	revious FY2	2014					
33.3% Of Target			160	156	159	159	164	148	148			
			FY2015									
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Qtr1 Actual	Qtr2 Actual	Mid-Year Actual	Qtr3 Actual	Qtr4 Actual	Year-to- Date			
	10. FMS/Munis users	CIVI	215	190.5	192.5	192.5	203.5	207.5	207.5			
Projections	support per FTE (2)											
96.5% of Target			Previous FY2014									
			157	149	155	155	153	154	153			
			·			FY2015						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
	11. GIS users support per		325	325.5	326	326	343	341	341			
Projections 104.9% of Target	FTE (2)				P	revious FY2	2014					
104.5% Of Target			260	118	272	272	269	270	270			
			FY2015									
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
Status	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
	12. Web content managers		100	120.6	120.6	120.6	122	203	203			
Projections	support per FTE (1.5)											
203.% of Target						revious FY2						
			205	140	153	153	158	180	180			
						FY2015						
				Qtr1	Qtr2	Mid-Year	Qtr3	Qtr4	Year-to-			
	Other Program Measures	UM	Target	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Date			
	13. Training Enrollments		1000	4	433	437	43	97	577			
Projections 57.7% of Target					P	revious FY2	2014					
57.770 Of Target			300	86	374	460		F2	696			
			300	00	3/4	400	183	53	090			

Comments:

- 1. Yr-End: Replacing the older more problematic Windows XP computers with newer hardware and software are most likely the reason for the drop in User Support requests.
- **2.** Yr-End: We have been performing many infrastructure upgrades, replacements, and global changes to the network systems, resulting in additional service requests.
- **3.** Yr-End: Exceeding projections with a lower number is a positive metric, as unplanned downtime should be avoided. In some cases unplanned downtime is beyond our control, as in the La Cumbre Peak power transfer switch not operating correctly during a power outage.
- **4.** Yr-End: Post-implementation tasks and an increase in service requests in support of the new Munis Financial system resulted in an a larger number of support requests than were required with our legacy Financial Management System.

- **5.** Yr-End: GIS staff are more accurately logging the work done to administer the GIS databases.
- **6.** Yr-End: Post-implementation tasks and an increase in service requests in support of the new city Website resulted in a higher number of support requests for Web services. A part-time Web Technician allows us to complete more service requests per year.
- **7.** Yr-End: Achieving more accurate numbers of reporting only computer users that have logged on in the past 90 days rather than based on user accounts. Quarter 4 meets target and will likely stay close to that number.
- **8.** Yr-End: Slightly below target. Achieving more accurate numbers by reporting only computers that have logged on in the past 90 days (plus laptops) rather than based on computer accounts.
- **9.** Yr-End: Slightly below target. Achieving more accurate numbers by reporting only computers that have logged on in the past 90 days (plus laptops) rather than based on computer accounts.
- **10.** Yr-End: Slightly below target.
- **11.** Yr-End: Slightly above target.

2015.

- **12.** Yr-End: Our new website contains more content and is easier for departmental staff to manage. This has resulted in an increased number of content managers througout the city than we had with our old site.
- 13. Mid-Yr: Munis training occurred in the second quarter due to a delay in the Payroll implementation.Q 3: Training coordinator position has not been filled as of 04/14/2015.Yr-End: The Computer Training Coordinator position was vacant for most of FY15. Position was filled in June